

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/729,428	12/05/2003		Steven Eckroad	A-69958/RMA (465070-1172)	8412	
23638	7590	09/22/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ADAMS E		.A. STREET, SUITE 218	RO	CAVALLAR	i, DANIEL J	
TWO WACHOVIA CENTER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHARLOT	ΓE, NC	28282-1991		2836		
				DATE MAIL ED: 00/22/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	nL.						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	··				
	10/729,428	ECKROAD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Daniel J. Cavallari	2836					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	:s				
Period for Reply		AONTHIO OR THIRTY (20) R	4340				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this community BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	05 July 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-55 are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the constant of the con	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	ge				
Attachment(s)	∆ □ 1-1	Summon (DTO 442)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	3) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/729,428

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-21, 22-29 & 30-35, drawn to a backup power supply system, classified in class 307, subclass 64.
- II. Claims 36-42 & 43-53, drawn to a method of supplying electrical power, classified in class 700, subclass 286.
- III. Claims 54 & 55, drawn to a computer program for providing power, classified in class 700, subclass 22.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the backup power supply process can be operated on a variety of UPS systems known in the art and not limited to the apparatus of I. The apparatus I can be operated in a variety of power transfer and operating schemes other than that of process II. In particular, backup power supply systems are commonly known in the art that operate in a variety of different schemes to switch between different sources.

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, I is drawn to an apparatus which does not overlap in scope with the computer program of III nor is the apparatus and computer program obvious variants of one another. Furthermore, subcombination I has separate utility such as providing backup power whereas III is a computer program which could be used to control power supplied to a load. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/729,428

Art Unit: 2836

806.05(e)). In this case the method II can be realized using analog circuitry and not requiring the computer program of III.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,428 Page 5

Art Unit: 2836

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Cavallari whose telephone number is (571)272-8541. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571)272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Cavallari

September 13, 2006

BRIAN SIRCUS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000